ARTICLE ARTELED ON PAGE

20 February, 1985

GENERAL'S BACKERS ARE DISAPPOINTED

Adm. Moorer Joins Criticism of Westmoreland Decision

By STUART TAYLOR Special to The New York Times

3

tired military leaders and other supporters of Gen. William Westmore, himself from the conservative moveland's libel suit against CBS today ex. ment." pressed disappointment at the outcome he had won the vindication he sought.

Adm. Thomas H. Moorer, retired, who was Chairman of the Joint Chiefs The \$8 million was an estimate of the of Staff from 1970 to 1974, said today he cost of the case to both sides. was "very disappointed" that General Westmoreland had agreed to settle the case without a jury verdict, a retraction or payment of damages by the net-

Judge Pierre N. Leval dismissed the jury in the Westmoreland case in Fed- profit law firm. eral District Court in Manhattan say ing "it may have been for the best that the verdict be left to history." [Page

Admiral Moorer had joined several other high-ranking retired officers in Mr. Irvine acknowledged today that signing letters to raise money and in the general had complained about the contributing themselves to help pay for advertisement, adding that it had been the suit, which has cost almost \$3.5 millor in legal fees for General Westmoreland's side alone

Mr. Burt said Capital Legal Foundamoreland's side alone.

"Knowing very little about the legal point," the Admiral said, referring to tember 1982. the general's decision to drop his case gin deliberations.

money for the case. Neither Mr. Irvine and the Olin Foundation, and still gets nor Mr. McDonald contributed money most of its money from such groups, to General Westmoreland's suit, but Mr. Burt said today. they supported him in pressing it. The American Legal Foundation has a servative" and said he considered himcomplaint pending with the Federal self a "libertarian" dedicated to free the same CBS documentary in viola-checking the power of large organization of the commission's fairness doc-tions, including news organizations.

None of General Westmoreland's supporters criticized the general personally, however, or suggested that financial contributions for his suit had been misused.

Dispute With Lawyer

Mr. Irvine said today that he had land case by 1983 but had ended up using most of it on other libel suits and refunding the rest to donors because Dan Burt, General Westmoreland's principal lawyer, refused to agree to document how the money was spent.

Mr. Irvine said Mr. Burt had committed tactical errors in the trial because of "lack of experience in such matters," had been unreceptive to advice and had antagonized possible con-WASHINGTON, Feb. 19 - Some re- servative donors by trying "to distance

Asserting that the case had ended and disagreed with the general's claim had wronged the general, Mr. Irvine he had won the vindication he sought. get CBS to say you're not unpatriotic?"

Mr. Burt dismissed Mr. Irvine's criticisms, saying he had refused to take money from Accuracy in Media for the case because he could not agree to conditions that would compromise the independence of the Capital Legal Foundation, his Washington-based non-

Used Name Without Consent

Mr. Burt also accused Mr. Irvine of using General Westmoreland's name without his consent in a 1983 newspaper advertisement for Accuracy in Media.

tion, which he has headed since 1980. has worked on the Westmoreland case aspect, I wouldn't have quit at that almost full time, at no charge to the

Capital has been financed since beabout a week before the jury was to before the Westmoreland case began primarily by conservative-leaning foun-General Sparrow also helped raise dations, such as the Scaife Foundation

But Mr. Burt rejected the label "con-Communications Commission to find market economic principles and to

Raised \$500,000

raised about \$40,000 for the Westmore- \$500,000 in contributions from individu-He also said Capital had raised about als, mostly small donations by retired military men and others, for the Westmoreland suit.

Much of this money was donated in response to mass-mailed fund-raising document how the money was spent.

Mr. Irvine and Mr. Burt today traded barbs about one another's roles in the molecular management appeals for Capital signed by Admiral Moorer and other retired top-ranking military men including Gen. Maxwell D. Taylor, another former Chairman of the Joint Chiefs, Adm. Arleigh Burke, a former Chief of Naval Operations and Gen. Curtis E. LeMay, former chief of the Air Force.

The individual donations also included about \$120,000 raised by a group called Veterans for Westmoreland that solicited contributions from West Point graduates and other veterans.

Mr. Burt said Capital had spent about \$3.3 million for the case while raising about \$2.9 million, leaving it about \$400,000 in debt. "I'll have trouble, but I'll do it," he said when asked how he would cover the deficit.

Mr. McDonald, of the American Legal Foundation, said the outcome of the Westmoreland case was "dispirit-

ing for someone from my perspective."
He said he believed CBS News had "acted maliciously and defamed" General Westmoreland and that a verdict by "a disinterested and neutral jury would have established this.

He disagreed with General Westmoreland's assertion that CBS had in effect apologized by saying Monday that it did not think him "unpatriotic or disloyal in performing his duties as he saw them."

"They didn't really apologize," Admiral Moorer said. He noted that CBS continues to claim its documentary's assertion that General Westmoreland distorted estimates of enemy troop strength in Vietnam to deceive his superiors and the public was true. "I think they libeled him," he said.

Other Westmoreland supporters who expressed disappointment that the case did not go to a jury verdict included Reed Irvine, chairman of Accuracy in Media, Michael McDonald, general counsel of the American Legal Foundation, both conservative groups that often criticize news reports, and Maj. Gen. Herbert G. Sparrow, retired.